

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.S. Box 450 Alekandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,347	03/29/2001	James P. Kardach	P10783	3768
75	90 10/31/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
JOHN P. WAI		SHAH, N	SHAH, NILESH R	
•	OKOLOFF,TAYLOR, RE BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEVENTH FLO		2195		
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025	•	DATE MAILED: 10/3172005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/821,347	KARDACH, JAME	KARDACH, JAMES P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nilesh Shah	2195				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2005.					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,			

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al (6,366,622) (hereinafter Brown) and further in view of Bollella (6,466,962).
- 4. As per claim 1, Brown teaches a mobile, uniprocessor computer system comprising: a high-level baseband controller to operate a radio module in accordance with a wireless communication protocol (col. 8 lines 22-35; col. 22 lines 9-21; col. 25 lines 42-67); wherein the first portion comprises a real-time event circuit to initiate execution of a real-time event handler (col. 3 lines 40-55; col. 14 lines 19-40; col. 22 lines 9-21; col. 25 lines 42-67).

Art Unit: 2195

5. Brown does not specifically teach the use of a processor having different areas for real time and non real time events.

Bollella teaches a primary host processor coupled to the high-level baseband controller, the processor having a first portion to process real-time events received from the controller and associated with the wireless communication protocol, and having a second portion to process non real-time events (element 12, fig 1, fig. 5; fig.12; col. 5 lines 5-30; col. 18 lines 25-35, col. 3 lines 3-10).

- 6. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Bollella and Brown because Bollella's events are spilt into real time and non real time event thus would improve Brown's wireless system by allowing real time events to be processed first.
- 7. As per claim 2, Bollella teaches a computer system wherein the first portion of the processor includes a non-symmetric processing core to run a first operating system, the second portion of the processor to run a second operating system, and the first and second portions of the processor to share a level-2 cache (col. 8 lines 53-65,col. 18 lines 25-35).
- 8. As per claim 3, Bollella teaches a computer system wherein the real-time event circuit is to halt a non real-time process (col. 6 lines 5-26, col. 5 lines 52-66, col. 9 lines 20-30).

Art Unit: 2195

- 9. As per claim 4, Bollella teaches a computer system wherein the first portion of the processor further includes a timer to trigger the real-time event circuit to initiate the execution of the real-time event handler (col. 5 lines 52-66, col. 9 lines 20-30).
- 10. As per claim 5, Bollella teaches a computer system wherein the processor includes an externally accessible event pin to trigger the real-time event circuit to initiate the execution of the real-time event handler (col. 6 lines 5-26, col. 5 lines 52-66, col. 9 lines 20-30).
- 11. As per claim 6, Bollella teaches a computer system wherein the non real-time events are associated with running a Windows operating system (col. 4 lines 10-13,col. 5 lines 14-17).
- 12. As per claim 7, Brown teaches a computer system further comprising a radio module including buffered input-output ports coupled to the high-level baseband controller, a low-level baseband controller, and a transceiver to enable wireless communication in accordance with the wireless communication protocol, the module meeting Limited Modular Approval by the Federal Communications Commission (col. 8 lines 22-35, col. 21 lines 10-23, col. 22 lines 1-21, col. 21 lines 43-50).

Art Unit: 2195

- 13. As per claim 8, Brown teaches a computer system wherein the low-level baseband controller includes a baseband portion associated with a link management protocol (col. 20 lines 43-57 col. 6 lines 3-7).
- 14. As per claim 9, Brown teaches a computer system further comprising a flexible cable coupled to the high-level baseband controller at a first end and coupled to the ports of the radio module at a second end (col. 22 lines 23-35, col. 21 lines 43-50, col. 21 lines 10-24).
- 15. As per claim 10, Brown teaches a computer system further comprising a hinged lid into which the radio module is affixed, the flexible cable extending through a hinge between the radio module and the high-level baseband controller (col. 22 lines 23-35, col. 21 lines 43-50, col. 21 lines 10-24).
- 16. As per claim 11, Brown teaches a computer system further comprising a chipset, the high-level baseband controller being incorporated into the chipset (col. 21 lines 5-24, col. 12 lines 46-60).
- 17. As per claim 12, Brown teaches a computer system further comprising a keyboard controller, the high-level baseband controller being incorporated into the keyboard controller (col. 3 lines 35-50, col. 21 lines 10-22).

Art Unit: 2195

- 18. As per claim 13, Brown teaches a computer system wherein the wireless communication protocol is selected from a group consisting of Bluetooth, SWAP, and IEEE 802.11 (col. 29-40, col. 3 lines 35-50).
- 19. As per claim 14, Brown teaches a method comprising:

receiving a real time event by a transceiver of the computer system from an external device the event associated with a wireless communication protocol (col. 8 lines 22-35, col. 21 lines 10-24);

processing the event in real-time using real-time event handler initiated by a real0time circuit within the processor, the processing of the event allowing to maintain the wireless communication protocol is maintained and a high-level portion of baseband processing associated with the wireless communication protocol is done by the processor independent of the operating system (col. 3 lines 40-55; col. 14 lines 19-40; col. 8 lines 22-35, col. 21 lines 10-24).

Bollella teaches executing a process on a primary host processor of a computer system (col. 18 lines 25-35, col. 3 lines 3-10); and the process being associated with a non real-time operating system, forwarding the event

to the processor(col. 18 lines 25-35, col. 3 lines 3-10).

20. As per claim 15, Brown teaches a method wherein a low-level portion of the baseband processing associated with the wireless communication protocol is done by a radio module independent of the processor (col.20 lines 42-55, col. 22 lines 1-35).

Art Unit: 2195

- 21. As per claim 16, Brown teaches a method wherein the wireless communication protocol is a Bluetooth protocol, and the low-level portion of the baseband processing is in accordance with the Bluetooth link management protocol (col. 4 lines 57-67, col. 6 lines 3-7).
- 22. As per claim 17, Bollella teaches a method wherein processing the event in real-time includes halting the process, saving a processor state to a reserved memory space, executing a real-time event handler, returning the processor state, and continuing execution of the process(col. 6 lines 5-26, col. 5 lines 52-66, col. 9 lines 20-30).
- 23. As per claim 18, Bollella teaches a method wherein processing the event in real-time includes processing the event in a first portion of the processor under a first operating system while continuing execution of the process in a second portion of the processor under a second operating system (col. 18 lines 25-35, col. 3 lines 3-10).
- 24. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected based on the same rejection as claim 14 above.
- 25. As per claim 20, Bollella teaches a machine-accessible medium including machine-accessible instructions that, when executed by a computer system, cause the computer system to perform the method (col. 2 lines 50-65, col. 8 lines 20-29).

Art Unit: 2195

26. As per claim 21, Bollella teaches a medium further comprising machine-accessible instructions that, when executed by the computer system (col. 2 lines 50-65, col. 8 lines 20-29).

Response to Arguments

- 27. Applicant's arguments filed 8/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 28. Applicant states a) Bollella does not teach or suggest a processor; b) Bollella and Brown do not teach the use of real-time and non real-time events.
- 29. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's remarks.

As to point a) Bollella does teach a processor (element 12, fig 1, fig. 5; fig.12; col. 5 lines 5-30). As per point b) Brown teaches real-time event handler (col. 3 lines 40-55; col. 14 lines 19-40; col. 8 lines 22-35, col. 21 lines 10-24) and Bollella teaches the process being associated with a non real-time operating system (col. 18 lines 25-35, col. 3 lines 3-10).

Conclusion

30. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2195

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Page 9

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nilesh Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-3771.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nilesh Shah Examiner

Art Unit 2195

NS

October 25, 2005

MENG-AL T. AN

details to the second